



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



AD A 137943

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Monterey, California



THESIS

DECISION THEORY:
INDIVIDUAL BIASES AND THEIR EFFECT
ON FORECASTING IN AN ORGANIZATION

by

John Timothy Shannon and David Alan Schwiering

December 1983

Thesis Advisor:

Philip Bromiley

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

OTTC FILE COPY

84 02 16 068

CURATY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Page Date P.

REPORT DOCUMENTA	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
I. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. ARA137 943	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
Decision Theory: Individual Effect on Forecasting in an (Biases and Their	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Master's Thesis December 1983 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
John Timothy Shannon David Alan Schwiering		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943	DORESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRES	18	12. REPORT DATE
Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943		December 1983 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 66
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II	different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shetrest entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Behavioral Decision Theory

Heuristics

Anchoring and Adjustment

Representativeness

Availability

Aspiration Level

2. ASSTRACT (Castinus on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

There has been a great deal written about how individual cognitive biases effect decision making. However, there is little empirical evidence to show how such heuristic patterns deffect decision making within organizations. thesis reviews the literature concerning heuristics and behavioral decision theory and then examines budgetary forecasting decisions within two large organizations to see if these biases can be observed in forecasts produced within organizations.

DD 1000 1473 COITION OF I NOV 68 IS OBSOLETE S/M 0102- LF 014-6601

EXPENSE PARTITION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Decision Theory: Individual Biases and Their Effect on Forecasting in an Organization

by

John Timothy Shannon
Ligutenant Commander, United States Navy
B.S., United States Naval Academy, 1970

and

David Alan Schwiering Lieutenant Commander, United States Navy B.A., Waynesburg College, 1971

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

HASTER OF SCIENCE IN MANAGEMENT

from the

Approved by:

Chairman, Department of Administrative Sciences

Dean of Information and Policy Sciences

ABSTRACT

There has been a great deal written about how indivdual cognitive biases effect decision making. However, there is little empirical evidence to show how such heuristic patterns effect decision making within organizations. This thesis reviews the literature concerning heuristics and behavioral decision theory and then examines budgetary forecasting decisions within two large organizations to see if these biases can be observed in forecasts produced within organizations.

Accession Tare FTIS count
The second second
A 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INC	AID	AL	BIA	SES	IN	TI	HE	01	RG I	AN:	[Z]	T	[0]	Ĭ	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
II.	BEUE	risti	CS	AND	DE	CIS	IOI	1	CH	EO E	R Y	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
	A.	INTE	to Du	CT I	CH		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
	В.	HEUE	IST	ICS	AN	D E	IA:	SES	5	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12
		1.	Rep	res	ent	ati	v e1	105	s	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	13
		2.) va	ila	bil	ity		•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		16
		3.	Anc	hor	ing	an	a i	Ađ;	jus	STI	ıe i	ıt	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	19
		4.	A sp	ira	tio	n L	676	1		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	22
	c.	CONC	LUS	ION	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	23
III.	'TBI	e na v	Y S	TOC	K FU	ND.	•	•	•	•		•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	26
	λ.	BACK	GRO	UND	•			•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		26
	в.	ANAI																				
		1.	Bud	get	Pr	oje	ct	14	ļ —	Sł	ii	e	Pa	rt	s	•					•	29
		2.		get		_					_	-										
				p S		_	•								-				•			33
		3.	B ud	get	Pr	oje																
		4.		get		_																
				air		_	•				_							•	•			37
	c.	CONC	L US	ION	S		•															
IV.		RPOB					•															
	1.	BACK																				
	B.	AMAZ																		-		
		1.		na g		_	-											•	•	•	•	
		2.	Sal				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•				49
		3.	C on	clu	sio	ns	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	53
•	PTWN	THE	,																			56

APPENDI	X A:	NAVY	STCCK	PUN		R AW	DA	TA	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	59
LIST OF	REFEI	re n c es	· ·		•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	63
INITIAL	CIST	RIBUTI	ON LIS	T.					•						_			_	66

LIST OF TABLES

I.	Budget Projects within	the	Stock	Fund	•	•	•	•	•	•	27
II.	Budget Project-14 Ratio	s.			•	•	•	•	•	•	30
III.	Budget Project- 21 Rati	os			•	•	•	•	•	•	33
IV.	Budget Project- 38 Ratio	os			•	•	•	•	•	•	36
₹.	Budget Project - 81 Rat	ios			•	•	•	•	•	•	38
VI.	Tonnage Shipped by Mont	h (i :	n tons	5)	•	•	•	•	•	•	44
VII.	Average Tonnage Shipped	(in	tons)		•	•	•	•	•	•	47
VIII.	Monthly Sales (x \$1000)	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	50
IX.	Average Sales (x \$1000)	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	53
X.	Budget Project 14 (\$ x	100	0) .		•	•	•	•	•	•	59
II.	Budget Project 38 (\$ x	100	0) .		•	•	•	•	•	•	60
MII.	Budget Project 21 (\$ x	100	0) .		•	•	•	•	•	•	61
XIII.	Budget Project 81 (\$ x	100	0) .								62

I. INDIVIDUAL BIASES IN THE ORGANIZATION

There has been a great deal of research conducted into how individuals go about making decisions and about how biases can enter into the decision making process and effect the cutcome of a decision. However, there has not been a great deal written about how these biases effect decisions in an organizational environment. The purpose of this themis is to examine data provided by two different organizations to see if these biases can be observed in forecasts produced within the organizations. The focus will be centered upon budgetary decisions within firms in both the private and public sectors. The budget was chosen because it is extremely important to the orderly functioning of any organization and, consequently, decisions concerning the tudget should be well thought out and thoroughly researched.

There have been cases in which the organizational budgetary development process has been criticized especially in Larkey and Smith [Ref. 1] have public organizations. researched the budgetary problems of a large city government over a thirty-six year period and have found empirically that the majority of the budget problems within that city been overstated in their severity--understating revenues and overstating expenditures -- in such a manner as to absolve those accountable from any responsibility. Larkey and Smith found that in most instances misrepresentation of kudgetary problems appeared to have been done not for repugnent reasons, but rather to help protect city officials from themselves in their roles (i.e., to increase the pressure to make fiscally responsible decisions). [Ref. 2]

This thesis is divided into five chapters. Chapter II reviews the applicable literature concerning heuristics as

they pertain to decision theory. Two facets of decision theory normative, and prescriptive, are discussed. In addition the concept of expected utility is presented. Finally commonly occurring heuristic principles that individuals rely upon when faced with decision making are introduced. Chapters III and IV present and analyze the data. III deals with a large government organization, the Navy Stock Fund, while Chapter IV is about a division within a The process of producing a large private corporation. budget within each organization is discussed very briefly. Finally the relation between the budgets and the forecasts which predict these budgets is examined. The object is to see if any of the heuristic biases discussed in Chapter II can be found in the relations between actual results and the forecasting of those results. If a strong link can be made between the forecast errors and heuristics identified in the literature then individual decision making biases must be of concern in managing organizations. If not, then it would seem that organizational effects have overcome the effects of individual heuristics in forecasting. Chapter V contains a brief review of the findings.

II. HEURISTICS AND DECISION THEORY

A. INTRODUCTION

Most people have had occasion to look back on various decisions affecting their business and personal lives with a In both situations it is mixture of pleasure and regret. usually difficult to determine the real factors which influ-While time tends to blur our recoluanced the decisions. lections of datails and we may offer what appear to be creditable reasons for our behavior, a searching review often reveals that a decision was not as well considered as it might have been. Frequently our good and bad choices resulted from a combination of random knowledge and a limited understanding of consequences, rather than from a rational choice of possible alternatives. The ability to make good decisions has long been recognized as an attribute necessary for the successful manager; however, no method of ensuring these decisions has yet been developed. the area of decision theory is being studied by researchers from an increasingly diverse set of disciplines including medicine. economics, education, political science, geography, engineering, marketing, management science, mathematics, as well as psychology.

Decision theory is concerned with the problems of choice. Older forms of the theory were primarily philosophical and concerned with how man and organizations should choose to achieve their objectives. These were normative theories and offered recommendations and guides on decision making. Later, descriptive theories which were more psychological in nature developed and became concerned with how people or firms actually do make decisions, or with attempts

to predict how a decision maker will actually choose. Combining the two questions of should and how complicated the problem significantly. As stated by Reitzel:

Practice has assumed that decision-making was something of an art; and as such rested upon the trained experience and judgment of individuals. Decision theory implies that there is a science of decision-making; that just as technological change rests upon a basis of mathematics and the physical sciences, so decision theory rests upon a basis of mathematics and the behavioral sciences. [Ref. 3]

Behavioral decision theory has two interrelated facets, normative and descriptive. The normative theory is concerned with prescribing courses of action that conform most closely to the decision maker's belief and values. Describing these beliefs and values and the mater in which individuals incorporate them into their decisions is the aim of descriptive decision theory. The key to understanding any decision-making process is to find the ways in which the decision maker organizes complex and dynamic problems into a workable framework.

Several decades ago a popular approach to teaching people how to make decisions using the concept of expected utility was developed. Leading proponents of this concept viewed expected utility as a prescriptive notion rather than a description of how people actually make decisions. Expected Utility Theory proposed that if an action had a number of possible consequences, the decision maker multiplied the probability of each consequence times the utility of that consequence and then summed over the consequences to decide the expected utility of that action. When faced with alternative actions the decision maker chose the one with the highest expected utility. Since many interesting decisions involve outcomes for which "objective" probabilities cannot be calculated, researchers proposed using guesses of

these probabilities, referred to as subjective probabilities.

Considerable effort has been devoted to studying how people perceive, process, and evaluate the likelihood of the occurrence of uncertain events. Early research on intuitive statistics led Peterson and Beach to the conclusion:

Man gambles well. He survives and prospers while using fallible information to infer the states of his uncertain environment and to predict future events. Experiments that have compared human inferences with those of statistical man show that the normative model provides a good first approximation for a psychological theory of inference. Inferences made by subjects are influenced by appropriate variables in appropriate directions. [Ref. 4]

One result of this high regard for our intellectual capability has been a reliance on normative models in descriptive research. Barclay et. al. proposed beginning with a normative model and adjusting its form or parameters to produce a descriptive model. This approach is best exemplified by the study of conservatism: the tendency, when evaluating uncertain information, to predict future outcomes that are very close to what actually happened the last time, using new information in a statistically incorrect manner.

[Ref. 5]

More recent studies have shown, however, that conservatism occurs only in certain kinds of inference tasks. In a variety of other settings, people's inferences are too extreme, leading to skepticism about the normative model's ability to fulfill its descriptive role. The belief that humans are good intuitive statisticians began to lose favor as pointed out by Carroll et. al.:

People systematically violate the principles of rational decision making when judging probabilities, making predictions or otherwise attempting to cope with probabilistic tasks. [Ref. 6]

B. HEURISTICS AND BIASES

Recant research suggests that the decision process used by the decision maker is fundamentally different from the normative model. Some of the research on judgmental processes attempts to evaluate man's ability to assess subjective probabilities, that is probabilities which are assigned by individuals based upon their "best guess" in contrast to "objective probabilities" wherein data on previous events are systematically analyzed.

Hogarth argues that man is a selective, sequential information processor with limited capacity and that he is, therefore, ill-suited for assessing probability distribu-Hogarth concludes that because assessing these distributions places specific demands on man's judgmental processes, it is necessary to understand the capabilities and limitations of these processes and how they are affected by characteristics of the judgmental task. [Ref. 7] Tversky and Kahneman argue that people rely on a limited number of heuristic principles that reduce the complex tasks of assessing subjective probabilities and predicting values to simpler judgmental operations. They identify three such principles a human judge might use: (1) "representativeness -- the degree to which an event is judged similar in essential characteristics to its parent population and judged to reflect the salient features of the process by which it is generated; (2) "availability"-- the ease with which relevant instances or examples or plausible occurrences can be brought to mind; and (3) "anchoring and adjustment"-- the process of adjusting from initial values to yield new final estimates. Twersky and Kahneman conclude that even though these heuristic principles are quite useful, they can lead to serious and systematic errors tecause they are not influenced by factors that should affect judgments of subjective probability. [Ref. 8]

1. Representativeness

The representativeness heuristic suggests that one way people evaluate the subjective probability of an avent, or a sample, is by the degree to which it is judged similar in essential properties to the group from which it was selected. In many situations, a person will judge the probability that object A belongs to class B, or that event A criginates from process B, or that process B will generate event A on the basis of the degree to which A is representative of, or resembles, B. When A is judged highly representative of B, the probability that A originates from B is judged to be high. When A is judged not highly representative of E, the probability that A originates from B is judged to be low. [Ref. 9]

This approach, where class membership of an object judged by its similarity to the stereotypical class is member, leads to several systematic biases in probability estimation. [Ref. 10] To test the hypothesis that intuitive predictions may be affected by representativeness and, consequently, be relatively insensitive to prior probabilities, Kahneman and Tversky presented 171 subjects with brief personality descriptions of several individuals, sampled at random from a group of 100 professional engineers and The subjects were asked to assess, lawyers. for each description, the probability that it belonged to an engineer rather than to a lawyer. In one experimental condition, subjects were told that the group from which the descriptions had been drawn consisted of seventy engineers and thirty lawyers. In another condition, subjects were told that the group consisted of thirty engineers and seventy lawyers.

The results revealed that in the absence of a personality sketch, the subjects judged the probability that

an unknown individual was an engineer to be the same as the proportion of engineers in the population -- 70 and 30 percent respectively in the two conditions. However, prior probabilities (i.e., the known proportion of engineers in were totally ignored when a character population) description was introduced, even when the description was totally uninformative. The odds that any particular description belonged to an engineer rather than to a lawyer should have been higher in the first condition, where there was a majority of engineers, than in the second condition, where there was a majority of lawyers. Yet, the subjects in both conditions produced essentially the same probability Apparently, the subjects evaluated the likelijudgments. hood that a particular description belonged to an engineer rather than to a lawyer by the degree to which this description was representative of the two stereotypes, with little or no regard for the prior probabilities of the categories. [Ref. 11]

and a said to the test of the test of the state of the test of the

Another factor that should have an effect on judgments of subjective probabilities, but that may have no effect on representativeness, is sample size. The similarity of a sample statistic to a population parameter does not depend on the size of the sample. Consequently, if probabilities are assessed by representativeness, the judged probability of a sample statistic will be essentially independent of sample size.

Rahneman and Twersky found that subjects failed to appreciate the role of sample size in making judgments of subjective probability, even when it was emphasized in the formulation of the problem. They presented ninety-seven subjects with three problems each of which defined a sampling process with a specified mean and a critical value above the mean. Subjects were asked to judge whether a particular sample outcome was more likely to occur in a

small sample, in a large sample, or about the same in both. Half of the subjects were given outcomes that were extreme than the specified critical value; the remaining subjects were given cutcomes that were less extreme than the specified critical value. Twersky and Kahneman found that most of the subjects judged the probability of obtaining outcomes that were either more or less extreme than specified critical values to be about the same in small and presumably because these events were large samples. described by the same statistic and were, therefore, equally representative of the general population. Sampling theory suggests that an outcome that is more extreme than the spacified critical value is more likely in a small sample than a large one, because a large sample is less likely to stray However, they concluded that this from the specified mean. fundamental notion of statistics was "evidently not part of the subject's repertoire of intuitions." [Ref. 12]

Another factor that should have a major effect on judgments of subjective probability is the presence of The statistics of correlation correlated input variables. assert that, given input variables of stated validity, a prediction based on several input variables will achieve higher accuracy when these variables are independent of each cther than when they are correlated. Yet, even though correlation among input variables tends to decrease the accuracy of the predictions, Kahnaman and Tversky suggest that it tends to increase the confidence people have in the resulting predictions. They suggest that internal consistency of a pattern of input variables tends to be a major determinant of one's confidence in predictions based on They also suggest that highly consistent patterns are most often observed when the input variables are highly correlated, and consequently, people will tend to have greater confidence in predictions based on correlated input variables. [Ref. 13]

and the second second

Their conclusions were based in part on an experiment in which they asked subjects to predict grade-point average on the basis of two pairs of aptitude tests. Subjects were told that one pair of tests was highly correlated, while the other pair of tests was not correlated. For half of the subjects, the labels of the correlated and the uncorrelated pairs of tests were reversed. Subjects were also told that "all tests were found equally successful in predicting college performance." The results revealed that subjects were sore confident in predicting from the correlated tests, over the entire range of predicted scores; that is, they were sore confident in a context of inferior predictive validity. [Ref. 14]

Twersky and Kahneman refer to the unwarranted confidence that is produced by a good fit between the predicted cutcome and the input information as the "illusion of walidity." They suggest that this illusion persists even when people are aware of the factors that limit the accuracy of their predictions. [Ref. 15]

A fundamental idea underlying probability theory is that the prior probabilities that summarize what is known about a problem before receiving specific, individuating evidence continue to be relevant even after such evidence has been obtained. Specifically, Bayes' rule provides for a multiplicative relation between prior odds and the odds with new information. Kahneman and Twersky concluded that their subjects failed to integrate prior probabilities with specific evidence and that this failure was one of the most significant departures of intuition from the normative Bayesian approach. [Bef. 16]

2. Availability

There are situations in which people assess the frequency of a class or the probability of an event by the

ease with which instances or occurrences can be brought to mind. This judgmental heuristic is called availability.
[Ref. 17]

In life, instances of frequent events are typically easier to recall than instances of less frequent events, and likely occurrences are usually easier to imagine than unlikely ones. Thus availability is often a valid oue for the assessment of frequency and probability. However, since availability is also affected by subtle factors unrelated to likelihood, such as familiarity, recency, and emotional saliency, reliance on it may result in systematic biases.

If examples are brought to mind quickly, it can be assumed that there must be a lot of them, or that if an association is easily made, then it must be accurate, since associative bonds are built with experience. Furthermore, it is ease of retrieval, construction, and association that provides the estimate of frequency or probability, not the sum total of examples or associations that come to mind. Thus, one important difference between the use of the availability heuristic and the use of some more elaborate inferential process is that little actual retrieval or construction need be completed; an estimate of the ease with which this process would be performed is sufficient as a basis for inference. [Ref. 18]

To some extent the assumptions regarding the relationship between ease of construction or retrieval and numbers of examples or associations are accurate, and to the extent that they are, an individual using the availability heuristic will reach correct inferences or at least inferences that match those reached by using more exhaustive procedures. Under other circumstances, however, those inferences may not be accurate because there are biases in the available data that are brought to bear on the problem.

When the size of a class is judged by the availability of its instances, a class whose instances are easily retrieved will appear more numerous than a class of equal frequency whose instances are less retrievable. In an elementary demonstration of this effect, subjects heard a list of well-known personalities of both sexes and were subsequently asked to judge whether the list contained more names of men than of women. Different lists were presented to different groups of subjects. In some of the lists the men were relatively more famous than the women, and in others the women were relatively more famous than the men. In each of the lists, the subjects erroneously judged that the class that had the more famous personalitities was the more numerous. [Ref. 19]

In addition to familiarity, there are other factors, such as salience, which affect the retrievability of instances. The impact of seeing a house burning on the subjective probability of such accidents is probably greater than the impact of reading about a fire in the local paper. Furthermore, recent occurrences are likely to be relatively more available than earlier occurrences. It is a common experience that the subjective probability of traffic accidents rises temporarily when one sees a car overturned by the side of the road. [Ref. 20]

Scretimes one has to assess the frequency of a class whose instances are not stored in mamory but can be generated according to a given rule. In such situations, one typically generates several instances and evaluates frequency or probability by the ease with which the relevant instances can be constructed. However, the ease of constructing instances does not always reflect their actual frequency, and this mode of evaluation is prone to biases. [Ref. 21]

Imaginability also plays an important role in the evaluation of probabilities in real-life situations. The risk involved in an adventurous expedition, for example, is evaluated by imagining contingencies with which the expedition is not equipped to cope. If many such difficulties are vividly portrayed, the expedition can be made to appear exceedingly dangerous, although the ease with which disasters are imagined need not reflect their actual likelihood. Conversely, the risk involved in an undertaking may be grossly underestimated if some possible dangers are either difficult to conceive of, or simply do not come to mind. [Ref. 22]

Experience has taught us that, in general, instances of large classes are recalled better and faster than instances of less frequent classes; that likely occurrences are easier to imagine than unlikely ones; and that the associative connections between events are strengthened when the events frequently co-occur. As a result, man uses the availability heuristic for estimating the frequency of a class, the likelihood of an event, or the frequency of co-occurrences, by the ease with which the relevant mental operations of retrieval, construction, or association can be Under scme circumstances, use of the availperformed. ability heuristic leads to perfectly appropriate conclusions: however, under those circumstances where there is a bias in what information is available, faulty inferences follow.

3. Anchoring and Adjustment

Another error-prone heuristic is anchoring and adjustment. With this process, a natural starting point or anchor is used as a first approximation to the judgment. The initial value, or starting point, may be suggested by the formulation of the problem, may be based on historical

data, or may be the result of a partial computation. In any case, adjustments are typically insufficient in that they fall short of the actual final answer. Different starting points yield different estimates, which are biased toward the initial values. [Ref. 23]

In a demonstration of the anchoring effect, subjects were asked to estimate various quantities, stated in percentages. For each quantity, a number between 0 and 100 was determined by spinning a wheel of fortune in the subjects' presence. The subjects were instructed to indicate first whether that number was higher or lower than the value of the quantity, and then to estimate the value of the quantity by moving upward or downward from the given number. Different groups were given different numbers for each quantity, and these arbitrary numbers had a marked effect on estimates. For example, the median estimates of the percentage of African countries in the United Nations were 25 and 45 for groups that received 10 and 65, respectively, as starting points. Fayoffs for accuracy did not reduce the anchoring effect.

Anchoring occurs not only when the starting point is given to the subject, but also when the subject bases his estimate on the result of some incomplete computation. A study of intuitive numerical estimation illustrates this effect. Two groups of high school students estimated, within 5 seconds, a numerical expression that was written on the blackboard. One group estimated the product

8 x 7 x 6 x 5 x 4 x 3 x 2 x 1

while another group estimated the product

1 x 2 x 3 x 4 x 5 x 6 x 7 x 8

To rapidly answer such questions, people may perform a faw steps of computation and estimate the product by extrapolation or adjustment. Because adjustments are typically insufficient, this procedure should lead to underestimation. Furthermore, because the result of the first few steps of multiplication (performed from left to right) is higher in the descending sequence than in the ascending sequence, the former expression should be judged larger than the latter. Both predictions were confirmed. The median estimate for the descending sequence was 2250, while the median estimate for the ascending sequence was 512. The correct answer is 40,320. [Ref. 24]

In a study by Bar-Hillel subjects were given the opportunity to bet on one of two events. Three types of events were used: (1) simple events, such as drawing a red marble from a bag containing 50 percent red marbles and 50 percent white marbles; (2) conjunctive events, drawing a red marble seven times in succession, replacement, from a bag containing 90 percent red marbles and 10 percent white marbles; and (3) disjunctive events, such as drawing a red marble at least once in seven successive tries, with replacement, from a bag containing 10 percent red marbles and 90 percent white marbles. problem, a significant majority of subjects preferred to bet on the conjunctive event, the probability of which is .48, rather than on the simple event, the probability of which is Subjects also preferred to bet on the simple event rather than on the disjunctive event, which has a probability of .52. Thus, most subjects bet on the less likely event in both comparisons. This pattern of choices illustrates that people tend to overestimate the probability of conjunctive events and to underestimate the probability of disjunctive events. These biases are readily explained as effects of anchoring. [Ref. 25]

Eiases in the evaluation of compound events are also significant in the context of planning and forecasting. The successful completion of an undertaking typically has a conjunctive character: for the undertaking to succeed, each of a series of events must occur. Even when each of these events is very likely, the overall probability of success can be quite low if the number of events is large. The general tendency to overestimate the probability of conjunctive events leads to unwarranted optimism in the evaluation of the likelihood that a plan will succeed or that a project will be completed on time. Conversely, disjunctive structures are typically encountered in the evaluation of risks.

[Ref. 26]

4. Aspiration Level

A related concept that may effect the direction and magnitude of adjustment from a given starting point is that of aspiration level. The term "level of aspiration" was introduced into the literature in Germany by T. Dembo hypothesized that the presence of a particular level of aspiration determined whether or not people felt satisfied or dissatisfied with themselves after performance Since that time numerous studies have supported of a task. Dembo's contention. Lewin reported that when first exposed to a level of aspiration situation subjects set an initial level of aspiration higher than their previous performance score and tend to keep it positive under most conditions. He also showed that success and failure directly affect the level of aspiration which is raised and lowered in accordance with the attained or unattained level preceeding performance. [Ref. 27]

In conjunction with Dembo, Lewin created an aspiration-level model to explain their findings. It included the following propositions:

- 1. In the steady state, aspiration level exceeds achievement by a small amount.
- 2. When achievement increases at an increasing rate, aspiration level will exhibit short-run lags behind achievement.
- 3. When achievement decreases, aspiration level will be above achievement.
- 4. Over time, aspiration levels tend to adjust to the level of achievement.

These propositions derive from a set of assumptions requiring that current aspiration be an optimistic extra polation of past achievement and past aspirations. Although such assumptions are sometimes inappropriate, the model seems to be consistent with a wide range of human gcal setting behavior. [Ref. 28]

C. CONCLUSION

Numerous studies have replicated and extended the Kahneman and Twersky studies, and others have independently arrived at similar conclusions. The rapresetativeness heuristic has received the most attention. Mockovak and [Ref. 29] and Bar-Hillel [Ref. 30] have documented the importance of similarity structures in probability judgment. Like Kahneman and Tversky, Marks and Clarkson, [Ref. 32] and Svenson [Ref. 33] observed that subjects' posterior probabilities were predominantly influenced by the most representative aspect in a sample. Contrary to the normative model, population proportion and sample size were relatively unimportant. Lecn and Anderson [Ref. 34] did find an influence of these two characteristics and, as a result, claimed that Kahneman and Tversky's subjects bust have misunderstood the task. Ward, however, argued that the conflicting results were most likely due to

differences in the tasks, rather than to misinterpretation of instructions. Hammerton, [Ref. 35] Lyon and Slovic [Ref. 36] have replicated Kahnemen and Tversky's [Ref. 37] finding that subjects neglect population base rates when judging the probability that an individual belongs to a given category. Additional evidence for representativeness comes from studies by Brickman and Pierce, [Ref. 38] Holzworth and Doherty, [Ref. 39] and Lichtenstein, Earle and Slovic. [Ref. 40]

Availability and anchoring have been studied less often. Evidence of availability bias has been found by Slovic, Fishhoff and Lichtenstein. [Ref. 41] Anchoring has been hypothesized to account for the effects of response mode upon bet preferences, and it has been proposed as a method that people use to reduce strain when making rational judgments. Pitz [Ref. 42] gave the anchoring heuristic a key role in his model describing how people create subjective probability distributions for imperfectly known or uncertain quantities.

A hauristic approach to the study of man's ability to assess subjective probabilities differs somewhat from the normative Bayesian approach that underlies most applications of modern decision theory. The normative approach tends to focus or the quastion "how should people evaluate uncertainty?" Considerable research has concentrated on ascertaining how people's judgments deviate from the Bayesian model. However, the usefulness of the normative approach to the analysis and modeling of subjective probability depends not only on the accuracy of the subjective estimates but also on the extent to which the normative model captures the essential determinants of the judgment process. A heuristic approach tries to focus on these determinants directly by posing the question "how do people evaluate uncertainty?" Heuristics such as representativeness, availability, and

anchoring are probably adopted because they are useful in reducing the complex tasks of assessing probabilities to simpler judgmental operations.

As noted in the introduction behavioral decision theory postulates that personal judgment follows certain patterns. The studies, however, have largely been concerned with individuals. Many important judgments are made in organizational settings where psychological patterns are complicated by organizational pressures. The next two chapters deal with data from two separate organizations in two different environments. The judgmental processes of forecasting future organizational budgets and outcomes are examined to discover if any of the psychological phenomena discussed above can be observed.

III. THE NAVY STOCKPUND

A. BACKGROUND

The Navy Stock Pand exists for the purchase and holding of numerous supply items which are then "sold" to a customer. The Pund is ultimately "paid" remuneration for requisitions supply items through the customer's legislated appropriation

Because of the diversity and tremendous number of products controlled by the Fund, which varies from food to aviation parts to fuel, and because of the enormous size of the Fund (over \$6 billion in New Customer orders expected for FY-83) the Stock Fund has been split into eight Budget Projects each of which is headed by a separate Project Manager. Table I lists the Budget Projects which comprise the Navy Stock Fund.

Each Budget Project Manager has the responsibility of building his own budget which is then aggregated by the Navy Supply Systems Command, submitted to the senior levels of the Department of Defense, reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget and ultimately becomes a portion of the overall defense budget which is to be approved by the Congress each September for the upcoming fiscal year. Along with the budget proposals for the next year, managers must also provide forecasts for the subsequent fiscal year. It is upon the relationship between the approved budgets and these forecasts that this analysis is based.

The fund isolates particular activities and operations to permit management to better control these activities by treating them as if they were separate entities. For an indepth discussion of federal budget policy and appropriation procedures see Lelocp, L. <u>Budgetary Politics</u> Brunswick, Ohio: King's Court Communicatio Inc., 1980

TABLE I
Budget Projects within the Stock Fund

Budget Froject	Commodity Ship's Part
15	Special Clearance Account
15	Forms
21	Ccmmissary and Ship's Stores
28	General Supplies
34	Aviation Parts
38	Retail Fuel
81	Depot Level Repairables

B. AWALYTICAL STRUCTURE

The basic analysis focuses on four of the Budget Projects: 14- Ship's Parts, 21- Commissary Stores, 38-Fuels, and 81- Repairables. Each was chosen because it is differs from the others in many respects. Each has its own distinct market within the Navy community, and, consequently, each manager has a unique set of problems involved in forecasting. Within the four Budget Projects four elements of the budget were compared:

- 1. New Material Orders -- These are orders from a Navy customer for material needed to support Naval operations
- 2. Obligations -- These are contracts let by the managers of the Stock Fund to contractors for the purchase of goods or services.
- 3. Disbursements -- These are payments of cash to private suppliers for goods and sevices and generally lag contracts by several months.

4. Inventories -- These are the cash value placed on the material in stock for issue.

Each Budget Project is examined separately and it was expected that different results could be forthcoming from the different projects. Quarterly figures listing current budgets and forecasts for the next year provided the data Theses figures were analyzed to see what patterns, if any, may be present in the budgetary forecasts. The data from annual "Navy Stock Fund Report was taken Reapportionment Request" for the fiscal years 1981 to 1983 inclusive. The raw data (presented in Appendix A) converted into ratios for ease of analysis and explanation. The analysis consists of two phases. Firstly, the approved budget for a given year (say year t) is compared to the accompanying forecast for the next year (year t+1). was done by computing the ratio of Forecast (t+1)/Budget(t) quarter by quarter for the entire three year period. number was then further adjusted to account for the expected rate of inflation for the year t+1. This was done by multiplying the ratio by an expected inflation index.2 results than provide a growth rate corrected for inflation. if the ratio is 1.00 all of the forecasted For example, tudget change is the result of inflation alone and no growth If it is over 1.00 "growth" has been forecast. is present. less than 1.00 "shrinkage" is If the ratio This operation has been named Phase I analysis. All Phase I numbers in the subsequent tables are the inflation adjusted ratios of the forecast for next year to the budget for this year.

Constant Proposition

Short Term predictions of inflation are listed yearly by OBB and publicized in suppliments of annual budget reports. The inflation rates used in the ratio model were: FY-81 10.4%; FY-82 7.2%; FY-83 5.6%.

Secondly, Phase II analysis is concerned with an examination of the accuracy of each manager's forecasts. accomplished by comparing the old forecast with the subsequent approved budget. That is, the forecast for the budget for year (t) that was prepared in year (t-1) is compared to the actual approved budget for year (t). A In this instance a ratio of Forecast: Budget is computed. 1.00 reflects 100% accuracy in forecasting by the Project Manager while a result higher than 1.00 indicates a forecast which was higher than the subsequent budget, and a result of less than 1.00 indicates when the old forecast is less than the approved budget. In Phase II, for example, a comparison is made between a forecast for FY-82 dated 15 September 1980 and a budget approved for FY-82 dated 15 September 1981. The analysis concerns itself with a search for patterns in the prediction habits of the different managers. Attempts will be made to bring out any possible biases which may have effected the forecasting process.

1. Fudget Project 14- Ships Parts

Eudget Project 14 covers the large number of consumable items which are used in the support of ordnance, electronic and other shipboard equipment. The Project Manager is responsible for the procurement and distribution of approximately 300,000 different items.

With the exception of the Obligations category, almost all of the forecasts are larger than the respective budgets over the three year period. The after inflation growth of New Material Orders was forecast to be slight throughout FY-82 but then a jump to 120% of budget was forecast for FY-83. This growth rate then fell back to approximately 110% growth forecast for FY-84. The same kind of trend can be seen within Disbursements where forecasts rose

	T ABL	e ii	
Bu		ct-14 Ratios	
PHASE I FY/ New Mat. OTR Orders 81-1 1.07 2 0.91 3 1.19 4 0.95 82-1 1.12 2 1.24 3 1.24 3 1.16 2 1.10 3 1.19 4 1.10	Oblig. 0.85 0.83 0.82 0.86 0.81 0.81 0.84 1.24 1.20 1.16	Disburse. 1.02 0.50 1.62 1.02 1.27 1.27 1.12 1.12 1.12	Invent. 1.12 1.06 1.04 1.06 1.19 1.12 1.13 1.13 1.24 1.22 1.20 1.19
PHASE II 82-1 0.91 2 0.73 3 0.94 4 0.78 83-1 1.12 2 1.29 3 1.12 4 1.38	0.82 0.38 0.55 0.61 0.54 1.23 0.85 0.85	0.64 0.51 1.30 0.97 1.05 1.05	0.75 0.72 0.72 0.74 1.16 1.14 1.11

to 127% for FY-83 and consequently fell back to 112% for the next year. Both of these observations might be the cutgrowth of a mixture of the heuristic properties of Representativeness and Aspiration Levels.

must be examined. It is clear that in all four categories the forecasted figures were substantially below the approved budget for FY-82, which simply means that the Project Manager- on 15 September, 1980-predicted a budget for FY-82 which turned out to be only about 85% of the approved FY-82 budget which was granted on 15 September 1981. The question of why this is so may be answered by carefully examining the timing of the forecasts and the environment within which

they were made. In September of 1980 the nation involved in a presidential election race which, supposedly, was to be one of the closest of racent times. The incumbent, Mr. Carter, was not known for advocating any major growth in Defense spending. His opponent, on the other hand, readily promoted growth in military spending. forecast of 15 September 1980 was made while Mr. still firsly in charge of the White House and apparently reflected the Administration's views of consumable parts requirements for the Navy for two years hence. budget approved during the Reagan administration was significantly higher than anticipated during the Carter administration. The budget approved on 15 September 1981 indicates an average increase of 16% over the old forecast in New Material Crders, 41% in Obligations, 15% in Disbursements, and 27% in Inventories. (See Table II, Phase II.)

The aspiration level phenomenon can be clearly seen in the budget forecast for the next year (Table II, Phase I FY-82) as the predicted real growth for New Material Orders for FY-83 was a whopping 21%. The same can be said for Disbursements and Inventories where growth was forecasted to be 27% and 12% respectively after inflation. The aspiration level effect has contributed to the creation of a forecast which predicts considerable growth relative to past forecasts and, as it turns out, to subsequent forecasts as well. This prediction came at a time when the first Reagan budget had been pushed through Congress creating a perception that over the next four years there would be a substantial growth in the number of ships in the U. S. Fleet. This perception was futher fostered when the Administration took steps to reactivate the USS New Jersey and the USS Iowa. was a natural outgrowth of such thought to perceive expansion in this Budget Project and to forecast it.

A CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACTOR

Another indication of aspiration levels at work here is the fact that PY-63 forecasts were high compared to the approved budget for FY-83. (Table II, Phase II, FY-83.) In the case of New Material Orders the forecast overshot the approved budget by 38% in the fourth quarter and experienced an average forecasting error of about 23% over the entire year. Inventories averaged 12.75% high while Disbursements were closer with only a 5.2% average error. The forecasts for the following year reflect these errors as the aspiration level effect seems to have been dampened rather quickly. Forecasted real growth in New Material Orders and Disbursements fell to approximately 12% of the approved budget from their peviously discussed highs. The forecasted levels of Inventories and Obligations actually grew for the next year. (Phase I FY-83.)

For two years, FY-82 and 83, forecasted Obligations were running between 80 and 87% of the approved budget. (Table II, Phase I FY-81, 82.) However, Phase II data from the same period show that these forecasts were well below the actual budget for both years. Acting on this information, it would seem appropriate for a project manager to expect future Obligations to grow in a like manner. Given the manager had just obtained much more than he expected, it can be hypothezed that his aspiration level rose and that he would forecast such growth in the next budget also. This actually happened as the next forecast rose from 17% below budget to 19% above budget. (Phase I, FY-82, 83.)

The continued forecasted growth in Inventories might be a natural function of the accounting structure rather than the result of a heuristic bias. For three years the levels of New Materials and Disbursements has been rising as has the Inventories Budget. If these materials were brought into the Stock Fund but not used right away by the customers then one would expect the levels of inventory to rise

accordingly. Unfortunately, data is not available to ascertain the accuracy of the latest predicted rise in Inventories.

2. <u>Fudget Project 21 - Commissary and Ship Stores</u>

Eudget Project 21 includes foodstuffs and other consumable items which are stocked at Navy-owned commissary stores throughout the world. The commissary may be likened to supermarkets having three resale departments- groceries, meats, and produce. The manager of this Budget Project is responsible for over 3500 separate items.

		TABLE I	EI .	
	Budge	et Project-	21 Ratios	
PHASE I PY/ NOTE OF STATE OF S	ew Mat. Iders	Oblig.	Disburse.	Invent.
81-1 00 3 00 82-1 10 3 00	ew mat. Idet: 198 -98 -99 -99 -99 -99 -99 -99 -99 -99 -	1.00 0.94 1.00 1.00 0.99 1.04 0.97	0.98 0.967 1.00 1.00 1.098 1.098 1.098	0.99 0.99 0.99 1.00 1.00 1.01 1.09 1.09
2 0 3 0 1 1 8 3 1 0 1 3 1 1 1	.03 .98 .03 .00	1.04 0.97 1.04 1.00 1.01	1.03 0.97 1.04 1.00	1.00 0.99 1.00 0.99 0.99
PHASE II 82-1 0 3 1 1 83-1 2 1 3 1	.99 .99 .06 .99 .04 .09	1.06 0.92 1.02 1.08 0.97 1.05 1.17	1.05 0.93 1.08 0.97 1.06 1.10	0.95 0.95 0.96 0.94 1.00 1.00
4 1	:09	1:05	1:48	1:02

after examining this Budget Project, the existence of forecasting biases cannot readily be seen. Instead, is presented a set of uniquely stable data wherein the forecasts are surely based upon the predicted inflation rates almost exclusively. In all four categories Phase I data shows the ratio of forecast to budget (adjusted for inflation) to be quite close to 1.00. In addition, the forecasts are extremely close to the amounts budgeted in subsequent years.

In all four categories the budgets were within 10% of the previous forecasts and in most cases well within 5%. That this can happen in an environment in which product lines are constantly changing, where the number of potential customers continues to grow, where there is competition with the civilian community, and where managers may be using different inflation indexes than are used here may seem to be somewhat disconcerting. However, as explained by the Project Manager in his annual statement for FY-83, "Since the Current and past two years' sales have approximated the inflationary rates for those periods the commissary store sales increases for the next three fiscal years have been predicted at the expected inflation rates with no real sales growth anticipated." [Ref. 43]

Here, the behavioral heuristics discussed earlier can readily be appreciated. Given the fact that the past three years' worth of data have reflected growth rates almost equal to the inflationary rates existing during the periods, a very high probability has been assigned to the possibility of future developments following the same pattern. In this case it is evident that an "Anchor and Adjust" effect exists wherein the present budget is simply incrementally adjusted by the expected inflation rate in order to arrive at the forecast for the next year. However, the fact that Phase II analysis shows a remarkable rate of

accuracy in the forecasts vis-a-vis the resultant budgets, some of the dominant characteristics of the anchor and adjust effect as developed by Slovic and Lichtenstein [Ref. &scrusgd] are not present. Their analysis determined that tiases resulting from an anchor and adjust effect typically caused insufficient adjustments which, in this case, should have forecasts to have been lower than the subsequent budgets and therefore, the Phase II ratios to be less than 1.00.

In this case, we have an adjustment factor that is provided to the manager from the outside--one which is, consequently, free of any bias on on his part. Thus we can see the anchor and adjust effect without the presence of some of the detrimental factors normally associated with it.

3. Budget Project 38 - Retail Fuels

Eudget Project 38 includes the purchase and usage of bulk fuel and related items in support of U. S. Navy requirements. The manager of this Project is responsible for 64 different line items.

Once again, within this Budget Project the fore-casting method appears to be extremely close to a simple adjustment for inflation. In each of the four categories over the course of three years the adjusted ratio of fore-cast to hudget (phase I) was essentially 1.00 (with the exception of Inventories which was approximately 1.15 for FY81-82). This, of course, may well indicate another project that is very stable (i.e., there is no real growth) and in which the manager expects that the Project will just keep up with inflation.

What is different about Budget Project 38, however, is that Phase II analysis indicates that the accuracy of the forecasting is not nearly as good as Budget 21. In FY 82 the budget came in very slightly above forecasted levels in

TABLE IV Budget Project- 38 Ratios PHASE I FY/ OTR 81-1 New Mat. Obliq. Disburse. Invent. Orders .14 82-83-234 1.02 0.96 0.96 1.02 1.12 0.97 1.01 0.93 1.01 1.09 1.14 1.09 0.95 0.97 0.98 1.03 1.02 1.07 0.97 0.98 0.98 0.98 1.11 1.18 1.19 83-1 234

all four categories but in 1983 the previously forecasted levels were all above the approved budget with Inventories as much as 17% high. This indicates that there are forces at work within the fuels area (such as a reduction price of fuel) which have not been addressed in the fore-It becomes apparent then that continued reliance on such an approach to forecasting for this budget project has forecasting inaccuracies as reflected in Phase This has led to a situation in which a prediction of no real growth has been made when actually a shrinkage of the level of activity has occurred for the budget project. In the face of this, continued forecasting methods based sclely upon predicted inflation rates may very well disguise

ALTERNATION OF STREET, MANAGER AND STREET, STR

the actual dynamics of the budget project and lead to further inaccuracies in the future.

4. Budget Project 81 - Depot Lavel Repairables

This budget project is unique in that it has only been in existence since 1 April, 1981 as part of a three year test program concerning the financing of the repair of certain material elements of naval systems and subsystems. The project controls line items within the following spectrum:

- 1. Shipboard hull, mechanical and electrical spares and repair parts:
- 2. Gun and guided missile fire control and launching systems and surface radar repair parts;
- 3. Surface to air guided missile repair parts:
- 4. Surface and underwater ordnance repair parts;
- 5. Electronic repair parts; and
- 6. Aviation repair parts.

a traducion decreases uniques languages deserves provides

Unfortunately, because of the short life of Budget Project 81, less data are available than for the previous tudget projects. This hampers the analysis to some degree. What is readily apparent, however, is the difficulty inherent in making accurate forecasts in the early life of an organization. In Phase I analysis, growth is predicted in all four categories but there appears to be no correlation among the categories in the first year of the program. In New Material Orders alone the predicted growth rate for FY82-83 changes from 85% to 419% in two quarters. Forecasts in the second year continue to predict growth but in this instance the predicted levels for each category are more in line with one another and quarterly forecasts appear to be more stable while still predicting a 30% growth rate.

Phase II analysis is quite limited because of the lack of data. It can be seen though that forecasts for FY

TABLE V

Budget Project - 81 Ratios

PRASE I
FY/ New Mat. Oblig. Disburse. Invent.
QTR Crders

82-1 1.81 1.64 4.83 1.03
2 0.86 1.17 3.80 1.04
3 1.31 1.00 1.43 1.05
4 4.19 1.25 1.66 1.05
83-1 1.34 1.54 1.38 1.12
2 1.29 1.46 1.36 1.17
3 1.31 1.41 1.28 1.21
4 1.31 1.39 1.19 1.25

PHASE II
83-1 1.24 1.01 1.19 0.85
3 1.31 1.10 1.02 0.85

83 were well above the subsequently approved budget in all four categories except Inventories where the forecast was only 85% of the approved budget. Once again, the data are unstable and the degree of accuracy of the forecasts even in the second year is marginal and differs for each category. The over estimation of these three categories, New Material Orders, Obligations, and Disbursements might point to an aspiration level effect but this phenomenom is unsupported by Inventories (although Phase I analysis does, indeed, predict a real growth rate of 20% for Inventories which later turned out to be actually more like 35%).

C. CONCLUSIONS

As can be seen from examining the different budget projects, predicting future growth for the entire Navy Stock Fund is a monumental task frought with many opportunities

for the introduction of subjective biases. Analyzing just half of the eight budget projects has exposed the possible presence of a number of behavioral biases affecting the final outcome of a budgetary forecast and ultimately reducing the accuracy of that forecast. In Budget Project 14 the manager is seen forecasting rapid growth based upon the information that the previous forecast was below the authorized budget only to see the subsequent budget come in well below his inflated forecast.

In the next budget project (21), a well established stable program, the manager's forecasts are bised solely upon one parameter (inflation) and surprisingly enough, these forecasts have proven to be quite accurate. accuracy is maintained for eight consecutive quarters which lends creditability to such forecasting methods in this particular case. However, in the next budget project (38) which is just as established as the previous one, the identical process of forecasting introduced biases into the Lastly, is introduced a very new budget project whose forecasts bring out quite clearly just how unstable a decision maker's predictions can become in the face of a high degree of uncertainty. Here, very optimistic predictions are the rule. Not having any historical base upon which to establish any prior probabilities at all can certainly contribute to the problems decision makers face in making accurate, meaningful forecasts of future growth.

All of these budget projects - with the notable exception of Budget Project 21 - have experienced inaccuracies in the forecasting of future budgets. The tendency has been for the error to be on the high side - that is, forecasted growth was higher than subsequent actual budgetary growth. This was not the case in FY 82 for Budget Project 14 but in the following year the budget was over-forecast by an average of 6%. Budget Project 38 was over-forecast by an

average of 5% and Budget Project 81 by an average of 6.5%. In each case, predictions were subject to different biases which contributed to the overall inaccuracies of forecasting of the budgets of the four major budget projects which make up the Navy Stock Fund.

IV. A CORPORATION

A. BACKGROUND

The second section of the analysis is related to a firm within the private sector. As before, forecasts are examined along with the corrections to the forecasts to determine what patterns if any, exist.

The data used in this chapter have been provided by a large industrial corporation in the northeastern United States which is a high technology manufacturer of specialty steel and related products. It is organized into three groups which operate, for the most part, as independent production, sales and profit centers. The data used here were collected from one of the groups which is referred to as "Corporation A". It is a wholly owned subsidiary which manufactures specialty steel products.

Corporation A produces many forecasts, two of which are studied here. Annually, Profit Plans are completed in December for the following year. The Profit Plans are business plans which include both managerial plans and financial/operating forecasts. Many of the operating forecasts are divided into monthly targets. These numbers are both forecasts and objectives. They are supposed to be realistic but are also used to judge performance.

The Profit Plans are critical documents in the corporate planning process and, as such, evolve from a process which involves operating managers, senior management at Corporation A and the parent company all interacting in a structured planning process.

Each month, reports are made to the corporate offices stating rerformance compared to the Profit Plan targets and

providing forecasts of outputs for the subsequent months. The two forecasts analyzed here are the monthly forecasts from the Profit Plan (PP) and the One-Month-Ahead-Update from the monthly reports (NU).

B. ANALYSIS STRUCTURE

The data used are forecasts of Tonnage Shipped and Sales for each month over the four year period from January 1975 through December 1978. As in the previous chapter these categories are treated independently. These particular items were chosen because they are not strongly correlated. There was an average backlog of three months or more for crders during the period studied which made Sales and Shipments independent forecasts for any given month.

The data in Table VI and VII consist of the Profit Plan, One-Month-Ahead Update, the Actual Results and the differences between the forecasts and actual results. Table VI lists the data month by month while Table VII compiles these numbers into yearly averages for Tonnage Shipped. The sign of the difference is important. A difference with a positive sign indicates that the PP or MU exceeded the actual results, whereas a difference with a negative sign means that the actual totals were greater than the respective plan. Tables VIII and IX accomplish the same task for Sales.

Tonnage Shipped

leasesses accepted mississical leasessess assesses assessess acc

Icoking at the actual column of Table VI one observes that the amount of steel shipped goes through three phases. Throughout 1975 and into 1976 the shipments decrease. In 1976 there is no real stability but a floor is reached in July and shipments begin to increase after that point. The final period is marked by growth (with a few exceptions) throughout 1977 and 1978.

As Table VI shows the actual shipments in 1975 were well below the expectations of the Profit Plan. The Profit Plan predicted a stable year with a decline of about 5% in shipments in the second half of the year compared to the first half. As the actual results came in under the Profit Plan, the Monthly Updates tended during the first quarter to under estimate the ascunt of the dacline. This tendency was reversed during the final three quarters as the Updates were consistently correcting the Profit Plan with a revised forecast that overstated the actual amount of shrinkage. Not only did the pattern change but the magnitude of the difference between the actual results and the Monthly Update was greater in the direction of overstating That is, as things continued to get worse the decline. management chose to update the Profit Plan conservatively predicting greater shortfalls than actually occurred in each cf the last five months of 1975.

This continued into 1976. The Profit Plan predicted that the decline in shipments would bottom out in May and then gradually increase. In fact, shipments were sluggish over the first seven months of 1976. Then in August shipments began to increase, a trend which continued until December. Although the Profit Plan had predicted this type of occurrence it predicted higher shipments than were actually made.

The pattern of over correction in the Monthly Update continued during the first two months of 1976 and the magnitude of the over correction continued to increase as well. Then in March shipments suddenly increased. The Monthly Update while predicting an increase to 26800 tons over February's actual shipments of 22729 tons was still short of the Profit Plan forecast of 28400 tons. Actual shipments of 29987 tons were achieved. Thus, in this instance, the Profit Plan was updated in the wrong direction. In April as actual

TABLE VI
Tonnage Shipped by Honth (in tons)

	FROFIT	MCMM III V		פפדת	מדדי
1976	PLAN	MCNT HLY UFDA TE	ACTUAL	DIFF (PP-A)	DIFF (MU-A)
JAN PEB MAR AFR MAY	31800 32250 32750 32500 32500	31800 29000 29000 28257 25338	31049 26143 28261 28326 24886	75 1 6 10 7 4 4 8 9 4 1 7 4 7 3 6 4	751 2857 739 -69 452
HTTS 19AB 1JAB 1JUUGPTVC 1JUUGPTVC	31800 32750 32750 32750 32750 32750 29800 29600 31800 31800	3180 0 2900 0 2900 0 2825 7 2533 8 2000 0 2000 0 2500 0 2500 0 2500 0 1800 0	31049 31041 362266 3683866 3771 3779 3779 3779 3779 3779 3779 3779	751 6107 4489 41764 10041 10044 6702 10276 9096	751 2857 7399 -452 -4669 -1919 -1798 -1798 -1024
DEC	29000	18000	19904	9096	-1024 -1904
1976 1988 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987	255 00 260 00 284 00 284 00 235 00 259 00 259 00 260 00 260 00	21500 21000 26800 225000 235000 235000 237000 255000 227000 237000	2766 27787 27787 227986 227986 227086 227056 227056 227056 22708 20708 2	2737 7377 27777 153846 1435406 1435406 15257 14379 14379 14379	-1266 -1729 -3187 -3188 -2538 -11864 -29344 -29344 -509 -2879 -2441
1977 JAB HARRY JUUL ACCTU ASCCTU BCCTU DCCU	204 0 0 199 0 0 231 0 0 231 5 0 0 232 0 0 238 0 0 217 0 0 219 20 0 20 0 0 218 0 0	2260 0 2750 0 2750 0 2940 0 2850 0 2920 0 2200 0 3000 0 3000 0 3720 0	19622 222 25189 251452 27830 27832 27832 27832 27992 317783	778 -56389 -83821 -4282 -40330 -4678 -8859 -10692 -11718 -6983	2978 1998 -489 2879 1018 1370 1978 -58 1401 -992 -1718 -1583
19AB FEARY AAU HUULGPTVC COCE	314 90 328 80 325 60 325 60 325 10 314 7 20 314 00 314 00	31500 310000 310000 3150000 315000 315000 314000 314500 314500	2436 928309 92830955 3377793 3377793 3377793 34446 14446 13424 3333 3333	22497 -8186 -31965 -42673 -431326 -431661	2259 11706 -1708 -1756 -1725 -10965 -10965 -2899 -2899

shipments dropped the Monthly Update remained high and for the first time in eight months the Monthly Update forecasted results that were greater than actual shipments.

AND THE POST OF TH

In June and July the same sort of thing happened. In June shipments increased again to 27686 tons. The Profit Plan prediction of 26200 tons was slightly increased to 26500 tons by the Monthly Update, an adjustment which was too small. In July as shipments dropped markedly from 27686 tons to 20546 tons, the Monthly Update brought the Profit Plan down from 25900 tons to 23500 tons which was almost 3000 tons short of the actual shrinkage. Thus in periods of instability, that is, when there is no clear trend controlling actual shipments there appears to be a monthly pattern wherein the Profit Flan also influences the Monthly Update which may cause a tendency toward under correction.

In August the shippage rate rose over July's low and this increase was stable over the next two months. The Monthly Update continued to under correct the Profit Plan in August, and in the stable months of September and October the Monthly Update predicted actual shipments almost exactly. Then in November another rise in shipments occurred and once again the Profit Plan was updated in the wrong direction by the monthly forecast.

After a relatively inactive January in which only 19622 tons were shipped, shipments in 1977 were much higher than in previous years. The Profit Plan did not predict this as it was below the actual results in ten of the twelve months of the year. As was true in 1976, when faced with instability the forecasters had difficulty predicting accurately. In January of 1977 as shipments fell the Monthly Update predicted a rise of the Profit Plan from 20400 to 22600 tons.

As shipments continued to grow with some stability over the course of the year another pattern developed in the

relationship between the Profit Plan and the Monthly Updates. As was previously mentioned, the Profit Plan was low in throughout most of the year. The updated forecasts, on the other hand, were quite close to actual shipments as the updated forecasts were within 5% of actual results in six of the months. What is notable though is that when the updates were in error they tended to be so on the high side, that is, the update adjusted the Profit Plan to a number that was above actual shipments. Once again, in the face of instability inaccuracies were introduced. In July, for example, shipments dropped way down to 20022 tons. The Profit Plan, however, was corrected up from 21700 tons to 22000 tons.

Cver 1978 shipments continued to grow and reached their highest point of the period. The Profit Plan predicted this growth to some degree as the forecasts called for considerably greater shipments than was forecasted for 1977. In ten of the twelve months, however these projections were under the actual results. Thus, while growth was clearly forecast the amount of growth was understated by 7% as shown in Table VII.

the first four of 1978 During months the Cne-Month-Ahead Update made adjustments to the Profit Plan which were all in the wrong direction. The actual results were below the Profit Plan forecasts in January and February and above them in March and April. However, instance, the Monthly Adjusted the Profit Plan away from the actual shipments. It should be noted that in three of the four months the Profit Plan was extremely close to the actual results before it was adjusted inaccurately.

Cver the last eight months the Profit Plan was consistently under actual shipments. The updated plans became quite accurate and with the exception of the months of September and November they predicted within 5% accuracy

the actual shipments for the remainder of 1978. However, there was a pattern developed during these months, which was if there were an inaccuracy it was from an overestimate of what the actual shipments were going to be. In September and November the Profit Plan under estimated actual amounts by 2135 and 4116 tons respectively while the updated plans, on the other hand, over estimated these same results by 2365 and 2094 tons.

		TA BI	LB VII	
	Average	fonnage	Shipped (i	n tons)
EAR	PP	ACTUAL	DIFF.	PERCENT DIFF.
975 976 977 978	31 20 0 27 7 5 0 21 4 0 0 29 9 5 1	24502 24979 27302 32231	6698 2771 -5902 -2280	27.3 9 -21.6
our Yi	27575	27 25 3	322	1.2
EAR	CPP	ACTUAL	DIFF.	PERCENT DIFF.
975 976 977 978	24 2 2 5 24 6 9 2 28 0 3 3 32 4 4 2	24 50 2 24 979 27 30 2 32 23 1	-277 -287 731 211	-1.1 -1.1 2.7 0.7
our Y	2734 E	27 25 3	95	0.3

As was noted earlier the Profit Plans are important targets which management at all levels strives to achieve. Table VII demonstrates just how inaccurate even the most meticulously compiled plans may become in the face of environmental instability. Table VIII shows a 1977 Plan that predicted further shrinkage from the 1975 and 1976 plans when the amount of material shipped rose significantly

causing the yearly plan to be below actual outcomes by over 21%. Additionally, in the improving times such as 1978 when shipments were steadily rising, the average forecast of the Profit Plan while predicting growth, understated that growth by 7%.

When considering the One-Month-Ahead updated plans it appears that Corporation A is making the most out of available information. When these forecasts have been in error they have presented definite trends which provide useful information concerning predictive biases. For example, in 1978 when shipments were growing, there was a clear pattern of predicting more growth than actually occurred. It would appear that line managers were setting high targets at the beginning of each month when things were going well. On the other hand, when things were going poorly (as concerns amount of material shipped) the pattern was one of overstating the degree by which actual results would fall short of the Profit Plan.

Che other matter of note is the degree of accuracy of the monthly updates. Even in the face of instability such as in 1976 and 1977 the average error of these forecasts was, indeed, small as shown by Table VII.

Cn average, the Monthly Update is quite close to the actual cutcomes. In examining the Profit Plan itself, though, some of the theory presented in the literature is illustrated. Between 1975 and 1976 the actual amount of tennage shipped increased by only 2% and the 1976 Profit Plan was adjusted down from 1975 to reflect this lack of growth. However, the 1976 forecast was not adjusted sufficiently, and the forecast remained significantly above actual results.

In 1977, the Profit Plan really missed the mark. While the Profit Plan predicted a further decline in shipments (by about 17% below 1976) the actual number of tons

shipped increased by 9.3%. It appears that there was a tendency to remain pessimistic even in the face of steadily increasing figures over the last half of 1976.

For 1978 the Profit Plan predicted increased shipments. Once more, however, the forecast was short of what actually hapened. Here, as well as in 1975 and 1976 there appears to by an Anchor and Adjust heuristic in effect. In these periods, the Frofit Plan forecasted accurately what direction the amount of shippage was taking but the yearly adjustments were consistently short in each year.

2. <u>Sales</u>

continue december 1955, 100 presents accounts approprie

Sales are presented in terms of thousands of dollars (3 x 1000). As Table VIII shows sales fell well below the Profit Plan in 1975. This trend continued into the first seven months of 1976 and the recovery in sales did not begin until August of that year.

The Profit Plan for 1975 predicted sales of between \$14000 and \$16000 over the course of the year. However, this was an off year for steel and actual sales declined steadily throughout the year. The monthly updates did not predict the saverity of the decline until March. During april and May the monthly updates did not adjust the Profit Plan all the way down to actual levels but they were, indeed, close. Beginning in June and continuing through the year until December the monthly predictions gave projections which were consistently more severe than the actual decline in sales.

The sales slowdown went on into 1976 but the 1976 Profit Plan while lower than the 1975 Plan was still forecasting sales well above actual levels. The monthly updates for the most part, continued along the same pattern as 1975. However, in March sales suddenly jumped from \$9306 in February to \$12494. The Monthly Update predicted that sales

TABLE VIII
Monthly Sales (x \$1000)

MODEL 1975 JAN FEB MARR MAY JUL SECT NOEC NOEC	PRO FIT FLAN 144 13 146 65 6 149 53 7 154 7 3 2 146 50 8 151 4 7 157 5 8 4 6	MON THL Y UPD AT E 144 13 129 19 130 94 122 40 108 83 85 78 85 78 90 20 103 96 96 96 96 96 96 73 77 25	ACTUAL 14885 11888 12605 12158 10505 89629 9417 10432 9135 8529	DIFF. (PP-A) -472 2784 -2784 3379 49808 5098 5098 45620 6017	DIFF. (MU-A) -472 1031 4922 378 -3467 -369 -469 -262 -804
1976 JAN PEB MAR MAUN JUL ASEP OCOV DEC	11459 11781 12839 15007 15471 12451 13247 15669 14612 14508	8868 8814 11409 107783 97776 10779 11074 11825 12130 13001	9428 9304 9304 9703 9740 1240 12077 112077 114047 11318	2031 2435 3407 3507 5567 2938 2949 2949 2949 1259 1268	-560 -4985 -10886 -12829 -12556 -10486
1977 JEER HARR HAV JUUL ASCOVC NOE	1333070 1333070 1533070 1555161 1555161 146491 15474 15474	11273 13317 14874 144304 14435 151374 151874 15749 156844 15956 14414	9698 12174 15161 131983 143732 140833 163720 146393 16725	4123 1179 117135 17135 111785 111785 -1986 -1986 -1988	1575 1143 -289 11438 762 1142 -1077 -449 -771 -636
1978 JPEBR MARY JUL AUCU AUCU NCC NCC NCC	16729 15739 16739 16949 17987 16729 16729 17781	167 17 162 16 181 01 171 19 196 91 185 95 158 49 197 78 196 97 199 62 185 30	15411 15985 19185 17369 205948 189474 198318 20378 18394	+ 1318 - 1246 - 1046 - 26445 - 22702 - 22703 - 1598 - 223652 - 305	1 3284 1 3284 1 2084 1

would increase (up to \$11409 from \$8814) but the adjustment remained low. During the next month sales once again fell off and the updated projection, while predicting such an occurrence, made an adjustment to the Profit Plan which was still short of the actual decline in sales. An almost identical situation occurred in June when sales again jumped to \$12010.

From August through December sales rose but remained below the levels forecasted in the Profit Plan. The One-Month-Ahead Plans for these months were for the most part fairly accurate. In the Month of November sales peaked at \$14861 and in this instance the monthly forecast was considerably short predicting sales of \$13001.

After a slow January, Sales began to pick up in 1977 and were greatly accelerated over the last five months of The Profit Plan for 1977 predicted such growth but in eight of the twelve months the Plan remained above actual sales. The monthly plans were rather inaccurate in the months of January, February, July, and August. first two months sales were down from the recovery realized in the last part of 1976 and this fact was not predicted closely in the monthly plans. Then sales recovered in March- a fact which was reflected in the Monthly Update in that month. Sales fell in April but the Monthly Update did not predict this and the April forecast was too high. Sales began to grow in the summer the accuracy of the updated plans improved.

1978 was a record year for Sales at Corporation A. The Profit Plan forecasted increases over 1977 but in eleven months the Flan was below actual results. The Monthly Updates missed the mark in January, March, September and Movember but were extremely accurate in predicting Sales in the other months. In January just as had happened in past years Sales fell from the closing highs of the last half of

Assessed Assessed transfer Leaguest

1977. The monthly adjustment made almost no change to the Frofit Plan and the result was an inaccurate prediction. In March, Sales climbed to \$19185 but the Monthly Update adjusted the Profit in the other direction while predicting Sales of \$18101. Both of the major inaccuracies at the end of the year were the result of the Monthly Update forecasting Sales far above the provisions of the Profit Plan. Actual Sales were significantly greater than the Profit Plan in both instances but did reach the levels predicted in the monthly plans.

والمنازية والمناز والمنازية والمناز والمنازية

The deleterious effect of environmental instability can be seen in sales forecasts just as in shipments. It seemed that each January sales activity would drop off from the rather comfortable levels of the end of the previous year and that each March sale would peak for some reason only to fall back to previous levels in April. In all four years this occurrence adversely effected the accuracy of the latest forecast. Another phenomena which concerns the updated forecasts is that the monthly inaccuracies described above tended to cancel each other out and on average, as demonstrated in Table IX, the One-Month-Ahead Plans were extremely accurate.

During 1975-1976 (just as was true with shipments) the Profit Plan forecasted higher sales than actually occurred. In 1977 the Profit Plan predicted a growth in sales over 1976 levels and this forecast, while high, was within 4.7% of actual sales. In 1978 continued sales growth was forecast but this time the forecast lagged actual sales growth by 9.3%.

It also appears that an Anchor and Adjust effect is at work here. The Profit Plan was adjusted in the correct direction each year and the amount adjusted consistently (except for 1977) tended to fall short of actual sales.

SECTION REACTION INCOME.

TABLE IX Average Sales (x \$1000) AC TUA L YEAR PP DIFF. PERCENT DIFF 1975 1976 1977 10605 +4417 11118 14093 +2366 -1691 1978 16566 18 257 -9.3 Four Yr 13518 1439 10.6 PERCENT CPP YEAR ACTUAL DIFF. DIFF. 1975 1976 1977 10526 10766 -79 10605 11 118 14 09 3 18 25 7 -352 144 1 2 18266 1978 Four Yr. Avg. 13518 -26 -0.2

As was true concerning shipments the One-Month-Ahead Flan reflects an extremely slight tendency for the forecaster to over adjust. As shown by Table IX, the percentage differences while almost negligible are all indicative of an over correction to the Profit Plan.

3. Conclusions

In both categories--Sales and Tonnage Shipped--the presence of the Anchor and Adjust effect seems to exist as the Profit Plan is adjusted from year to year. In the 1977 forecast of shipments, the Profit Plan was adjusted in the wrong direction and, consequently, completely missed what actually transpired.

The presence of Anchor and Adjustment can be indicated when two criteria are satisified, although more complex formulations of the process are possible. Firstly, the direction of the adjustment to the forecast must be in

the in the correct direction. For example, the Monthly Update must adjust the Profit Plan in the same direction that actual results are taking in relation to the Profit This indicates the forecaster has information in addition to past forecasts and such information has some usefulness in predicting the future. Secondly, the magnitude of the correction must be less than the magnitude of the actual results. That is, the forecaster is anchored and doesn't adjust far enough. To test for anchoring and adjustment, the Monthly Updates in Tables VI and VIII which met the first stipulation were identified. The forecast for that month (Forecast for month t+1) was subtracted from the actual for that month (month t). The actual for the subsequent month (t+1) was subtracted from the actual of the reference month (month t). The absolute values of both resultants were then compared. If the Anchor and Adjustment heuristic is clearly dominant the first resultant should be less than the second resultant. That is, the difference between current and forecast values will be less than the difference between current and future actual outcomes. the test was done it was found that it held 50% of the time for shipments and 54% of the time for sales. Thus, over-adjust just as cften as they under adjust which is not consistent with simple anchoring and adjustment.

V. FINDINGS

This thesis investigates the ways in which man makes decisions in an organizational environment. This was done in an attempt to discover aids that might contribute to hetter decision making. A review of current literature in the area of decision theory revealed two interesting facts. First, researchers in the field of decision theory led by Twersky and Kahneman argue that people rely on a limited number of heuristic principles that reduce the complex tasks of assessing subjective probabilities and predicting values to simpler judgmental operations. Tversky and Kahneman identify "representativeness," "availability," "anchoring and adjustment" as the three most common principles which decision makers employ in the conducting business. The second finding is that research in the field of decision theory has been conducted largely in the area of individuals. No quantitative data could be found indicating a dependence on heuristic principles by decision makers in an organizational structure. Tversky and Kahneman postulate, reliance on heuristics can lead to substantial biases, and this can be shown using corporate data, then such biases can be corrected resulting in better decisions.

This thesis analysed organizational forecasts to to see if the tasic theory held. Budget forecasts were used as the focus of the investigation because they are critical to the success of any organization and therefore should be well thought out. Both the Navy Stock Fund (an accounting entity of a large government organization) and an operating division of a private sector corporation were analysed separately to see what similarities and differences could be

found. In the stock fund, the analysis had two major elements. First, future year budget forecasts and current year approved budgets were contrasted to determine if patterns other than inflation could be identified. If patterns could be found and analyzed then better forecasts might be achieved. Secondly, the accuracy of individual forecasts was checked by comparing future forecasts with the subsequent approved budgets. This would not only point out possible differences in individual manager's forecasting techniques and accuracy, but a study of the direction in which the forecast was off would provide insight into the application of heuristic principles in an organizational structure.

With respect to the Navy Stock Fund, DО decisive patterns were found to exist across all budget projects. Examples of the existence of biases associated with reliance on heuristic principles in decision making can be shown in individual budget projects. . It appeared, however, that accuracy of the forecasts was determined more by the stability of the budget project by than behavioral biases. The data show the more stable budget projects (21 have more accurate forecasts than than the less stable ones The most stable project (21) had almost no (14 and 81). evidence of forecasting bias. There was, however, evidence of anchor and adjustment, rapresentativeness, aspiration level present in the forecasts of the less stable projects. Additionally, political pressures have a significant effect on forecasts within the Navy Stock Fund making it difficult to improve on the current method for making Understanding the possible biases associated forecasts. with reliance on heuristics in decision making might help the individual manager make better predictions, but organizational pressures coupled with the tendency to aggregate data and therefore obscure possible patterns reduces their

usefulness. In other words, the psychological patterns discussed in the literature may be present at the individual level but are overcome by the organizational structure of the Navy Stock Fund.

The data analyzed from Corporation A were different in form from that of the Navy Stock Fund, but performed essentially the same purpose and revealed essentially the same results. Corporation A prepares an annual Profit Plan in December for the following year and updates it throughout the year using a One-Month-Ahead update. These forecasts were compared to actual totals for a given time period to see if the tiases associated with heuristic principles were Examples of the effect of anchor and adjustment be seen in several instances but the data did support any conclusions that are applicable across board. Trends such as conservatism in forecasting resulting in under correction are apparent under certain circumstances not in others. When things were going poorly, predictions tended to overstate the problem however, things were going well, forecasts exceeded actual results. When aggregated, the monthly inaccuracies tended to cancel each other out and averages of the One-Month-Ahead plans were extremely accurate.

As pointed out in the individual analysis in Chapters III and IV there are instances where the effects of the theory can be seen. There are an equal number of situations however, where no such correlation can be shown. The tendency for operational managers to be pessimistic when things are going badly and overly optimistic when things are going well can be shown but not with enough frequency to make it a useable predictive tool.

no structura terrebona telebosco (especiale appropria

Overall, this research has broken new ground in combining behavioral decision theory and organizational forecasting. As a first cut, conclusive results were not

visible. The data do show however, that reliance on the simple minded technique of anchoring and adjustment is not justified. Future research should attempt to obtain larger data sets and begin to look for more context dependent tiases. For example, looking for different effects in periods of growth than in periods of decline, or differences effected by the stability of the industry. The area of biases in organizational forecasting is critical and needs further research.

APPREDIX A WAVY STOCK FUED RAW DATA

		TABLE X		
	Budget	Project 14	(\$ x 1000)	
	NEW MATER	IAL ORDERS	OBLIGAT	IONS
TEAR/ TE 1/1 1981/1 /3	BUDGET 74780. 72050. 71438. 86130.	FORE CAST 89723. 72072. 93574. 89991.	BUDGET 86229. 86286. 111126. 112543.	FOR ECAST 85405. 181680. 163210. 162105.
1982/1	97569. 99254. 99045. 114943.	116787. 131687. 131431. 151036.	98240. 208945. 182289. 173626.	85405. 181680. 163210. 162105.
1983/1 /2 /3 /4	103900. 102100. 117600. 109132.	128200. 119000. 137200. 127328.	158850. 148250. 192950. 189450.	208400. 188500. 235500. 233800.
	DISBURS	EMENTS	INVENT	ORIES
TEAR/ OTB 1981/1 /3	BUDGET 55814. 105116. 94413. 92257.	PORECAST 62907. 57611. 168401. 103981.	BUDGET 753618. 799914. 846938. 892503.	FORECAST 930649. 932719. 968796. 1045089.
1982/1	98505. 111936. 129856. 107450.	1340 68. 152350. 176726. 146256.	1238270. 1295840. 1353458. 1410976.	1485035. 1559095. 1633157. 1707217.
1983/1	127000. 145100. 167900. 139000.	150800. 171400. 198900. 164500.	1269681. 1369681. 1469681. 1560601.	1660600. 1760000. 1860000. 1963165.

CONCESS CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE STREET SERVICES STREET, STREET, STREET, STREET, STREET, STREET, STREET,

TABLE XI
Budget Project 38 (\$ x 1000)

	NEW MATERIAL ORDERS	OBLIGATIONS
YEAR/	BUDGET FORECAST	BUDGET FORECAST
1981/1 1981/1 /3 /4	615200. 676300. 592400. 621700. 524100. 621500. 546800. 596500.	549300. 600700. 549300. 626100. 595100. 625800. 595100. 681100.
1982/1 /2 /3 /4	659900. 712200. 649800. 684700. 644600. 684800. 583800. 657400.	619500. 661100. 619400. 688700. 671100. 688600. 671100. 708700.
1983/1	704600. 718200. 661900. 665000. 563700. 638400. 586400. 638400.	606100. 639800. 606000. 639800. 631100. 693200. 661000. 693100.
	DISBURSEMENTS	INVENTORIES
YEAR/ OTR 1981/1 /3	BUDGET FORE CAST 5 16 7 00. 5776 00. 5 16 7 00. 6027 00. 584 2 00. 6529 00. 6 29 0 00. 678 0 00.	BUDGET FORECAST 363126. 457179. 364897. 464494. 365251. 466816. 365743. 467591.
1982/1 /2 /3	611517. 655950. 620038. 648311. 664799. 686928.	471496. 507216. 473853. 508484. 475038. 509755. 476259. 510274.
, ,	660346. 704011.	7/02556 5102741

CONTROL CARRENT LANSSON CONTROL APPROACH CARRENT SERVICES

TABLE XII Budget Project 21 (\$ x 1000)

VB 10 /	NEW MATERIAL	ORDERS	OBLIGATIONS	
YEAR/ OTR 1981/1 /3 /4	BUDGET 178000. 170000. 182000. 194600.	FORE CAST 193000. 183000. 199000. 211300.	BUDGET 170000. 175000. 183000. 195451.	FORECAST 187000. 182000. 202000. 214864.
1982/1 /2 /3 /4	195000. 185000. 195000. 199300.	208000. 197000. 205000. 220900.	177000. 198000. 198000. 198086.	190000. 210000. 228000. 220108.
1983/1 /2 /3 /4	210000. 193000. 197000. 201800.	218000. 210000. 207000. 216500.	195000. 200000. 195000. 209400.	200000. 220000. 205000. 223500.
	DISBURSEMENT	:S	IN V EN TOR IES	
YEAR/ OTR 1981/1 /3 /4	DISBURSEMENT EUDGET 172000. 172000. 188000. 193451.	FORE CAST 187000. 182000. 202000. 214864.	INVENTORIES BUDGET 75236. 76236. 78236. 79878.	FORECAST 82036. 83236. 85236. 85778.
OTR 1981/1 /2 /3	BUDG ET	FORECAST		FORECAST 82036. 83236. 85236. 85778. 92500. 94000. 96000.

TABLE XIII Budget Project 81 (\$ x 1000)

YEAR / OTR 1982/1 / 2 / 3 / 4 / 4	NEW MATERIAL ORDERS EUDGET FOREC AST 96 90 0. 1878 07. 1712 34. 1576 57. 1712 34. 2411 80. 53 7 3 0. 2411 79. 151 140. 2139 99. 122 7 3 €. 1692 07. 184 10 7. 2538 12. 184 10 7. 2538 12.	BUDGET FORECAST 163326. 286425. 227554. 286425. 284210. 305175. 227554. 305175.
YEAR/ QTR 1982/1 /3 /4 1983/1 /3 /4	DIS BURSEMENTS EUDGET FOREC AST 45466. 235525. 15343C. 235525. 132090. 235525. 197821. 288750. 200425. 288750. 213899. 288750.	

LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Larkey, Patrick D. and Smith, Richard A., Strategic Misrepresentation and Justification of Budget Profiles, paper presented at Carnegie-Nellon University, pp. 2, December 1981.
- 2. Itid., pp. 25.
- 3. Reitzel, W. A., <u>Background to Decision Making</u>, paper presented at U.S. Naval War College, Newport, Rhode Island, 1968. pp. 16.
- 4. Peterson, C.R. and Beach, L.R. "Man as an Intuitive Statistician," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, v. 68, pp. 29-46, 1967.
- Barclay, S., Feach, L.R., and Braithwaite, W.P., "Ncrmative Mcdels the Study of Cognition," Organizational Behavior and Human Organizational Behavior and Human Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, V. 6, pp. 389-413, 1971.
- 6. Carroll, J.S. and Payne, J.W., ed., <u>Cognition and Social Behavior</u>, pp. 171, Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale, new jersey, 1976.
- 7. Hogarth, R.M., "Process Tracing in Clinical Judgment," Behavioral Science, V.19 pp. 298-313, 1974.
- 8. Tversky, A. and Kahneman, D., "Judgment Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases," <u>Science</u>, V. 185, pp. 1124-31, 1974.
- 9. Kahreman, D. and Tversky, A., "Subjective Probability: A Judgment of Representativeness," Cognitive Psychology, v. 3, pp. 430-54, 1972.
- 10. Libby, R., <u>Accounting and Human Information</u>
 Processing: Theory and Applications, pp. 67-73,
 Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1981.
- 11. Tversky, A. and Kahneman, D., pp. 1156-80, 1974.
- 12. Kahreman, D. and Twersky, A., pp. 411-15, 1972.
- 13. Kahreman, D. and Tversky, A., "On the Psychology of Prediction," <u>Psychological Review</u>, V. 80, pp. 237-51, 1973.

- 14. Kahreman, D. and Tversky, A., pp. 237-51, 1973.
- 15. Tversky, A. and Kahneman, D., pp. 1124-28, 1974.
- 16. Kahreman, D. and Tversky, A., pp. 248-51, 1973.
- 17. Tversky, A. and Kahneman, D., "Availability: A Heuristic for Judging Frequency and Probability," Cognitive Psychology, V. 5, pp. 207-32, 1973.
- 18. Taylor, S.E., "The Availability Bias in Social Perception and Interaction," Judgment Under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases, pp. 190-200, Campfidge University Press, New York, New York, 1982.
- 19. Tversky, A. and Kahneman, D., pp. 210-18, 1973.
- 20. Tversky, A. and Kahneman, D., pp. 1126-7, 1974.
- 21. Ibid., pp. 1129-31.
- 22. Ibid., pp. 1131.
- 23. Slovic, P and Lichtenstein, S., "Comparison of Bayesian and Regression Approaches to the Study of Information Processing in Judgment," Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, V. 6, pp. 649-744,
- 24. Tversky, A. and Kahneman, D., pp. 1124-5, 1974.
- 25. Bar-Hillel, M., "Normative Models in the Study of Cognition," Crganizational Behavior and Human Performance, V. 9, pp. 396-406, 1973.
- 26. Tversky, A. and Kahneman, D., pp. 1126-8, 1974.
- 27. Lewin, K., and others, "Level of Aspiration,"

 Personality and the Behavior Disorders, pp. 78-99,
 Ronald, New York, 1944.
- 28. Ibid., pp. 106.
- 29. Wise, J.A. and Mockovak, W.P., "Descriptive Modeling of Subjective Probabilities," Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, v. 9, pp. 292-306, 1973.
- 30. Bar-Hillel, pp. 396-406.
- 31. Kahreman, D. and Tversky, A., pp. 430-54, 1972.

- 32. Marks, D.F. and Clarkson, J.K., "An Explanation of Conservativism in the Bookbag-and-Pokerchips Situation," Acta Psychologica, V. 37, pp. 55-63, 1973.
- 33. Svenson, O. "Analysis of Strategies in Subjective Probability Inferences as Evidenced in Continuous Verhal Reports and Numerical Responses," Psychological Bulletin, V. 16, pp. 187-92, 1973.
- 34. Lecn, M. and Anderson, N.H., "A Ratio Rule from Integration Theory Applied to Inference Judgments," Journal of Experimental Psychology, V. 102, pp. 26-36, 1974.
- 35. Hammerton, M., "Process Tracing in Clinical Judgment," Behavioral Science, V. 3, pp. 300-2, 1974.
- 36. Lycn, D. and Slovic, P., "Dominance of Accuracy Information and Neglect of Base Rates in Probability Estimation," <u>Acta Psychologica</u>, V. 40, pp. 92-117, 1976.
- 37. Kahreman, D. and Tversky, A., pp. 237-51, 1973.
- 38. Brickman, P. and Pierce, S.M., "Estimates of Conditional Probabilities of Confirming Versus Disconfirming Events as a Function of Inference Situation and Frior Evidence," Journal of Experimental Psychology, V. 95, pp. 235-37, 1972.
- 39. Hclzworth, R.J. and Doherty, M.E., "Inferances and Predictions: Normative vs. Representative Responding," Psychonomic Society Bulletin, V. 3, pp. 300-2, 1974.
- 40. Lichtenstein, S.C., Earle, T., and Slovic, P., "Cue Utilization in a Numerical Prediction Task," Journal of Experimental Psychology, v. 89, pp. 46-55, 1977.
- 41. Slcvic, P. and Lichtenstein, S., "Comparison of Bayesian and Regression Approaches to the Study of Information Processing in Judgment," Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, V. 6, pp. 649-744, 1971.
- Pitz, G.F., "Subjective Probability Distributions for Imperfectly Known Quantities," Knowledge and Cognition, pp. 312-19, Wiley, New York, New York,
- 43. Navy Stockfund, Annual Budget Report and Reapportionment Request, 1982.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

		No. Copies
1.	Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	2
2.	Supertindent Attn: Library Ccde 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Mcnterey, California 93943	2
3.	Professor Philip Bromily, Code 54Bg Administrative Science Department Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943	4
4.	Professor Kenneth Euske, Code 54Ee Administrative Science Department Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943	1
5.	LCDR J. T. Shannen, USN USS Worden (CG 18) Fleet Pest Office San Francisco, California 96683	1
6.	LCDR D. A. Schwiering, USN 38 Helen Street Fanwood, New Jersey 07023	1

FILMED
3-84

DTIC